## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND (Northern Division)

Plaintiff,  v. * Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-02134-CCB  SYNCHRONY BANK,  *  Defendant,  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *  *	REU	BEN D	RAKE	,			*							
Defendant,  * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	·						*	Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-02134-CCB						
Defendant,  * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *							*							
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	SYN	CHRO	NY BA	NK,			*							
Upon consideration of Defendant Synchrony Bank's Partial Motion to Dismiss and a responses thereto, it is hereby:  ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED; and it is further ORDERED that, to the extent they are premised on the alleged use of an Automa Telephone Dialing System, Counts I and II of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint are dismissed w prejudice; and  ORDERED that, Counts IV of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is dismissed w	Defendant,													
Upon consideration of Defendant Synchrony Bank's Partial Motion to Dismiss and a responses thereto, it is hereby:  ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED; and it is further  ORDERED that, to the extent they are premised on the alleged use of an Automa Telephone Dialing System, Counts I and II of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint are dismissed w prejudice; and  ORDERED that, Counts IV of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is dismissed w	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
responses thereto, it is hereby:  ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED; and it is further  ORDERED that, to the extent they are premised on the alleged use of an Automa Telephone Dialing System, Counts I and II of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint are dismissed w prejudice; and  ORDERED that, Counts IV of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is dismissed w							ORE	<u>DER</u>						
ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED; and it is further  ORDERED that, to the extent they are premised on the alleged use of an Automa Telephone Dialing System, Counts I and II of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint are dismissed w prejudice; and  ORDERED that, Counts IV of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is dismissed w		Upo	n consi	deration	of Det	fendant	Synchi	rony Ba	ınk's Pa	artial M	otion to	o Dismiss	and any	
ORDERED that, to the extent they are premised on the alleged use of an Automa Telephone Dialing System, Counts I and II of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint are dismissed w prejudice; and  ORDERED that, Counts IV of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is dismissed w	respo	onses th	ereto, i	t is here	by:									
Telephone Dialing System, Counts I and II of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint are dismissed w prejudice; and  ORDERED that, Counts IV of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is dismissed w		ORI	DEREI	that D	efendan	ıt's Mo	tion to I	Dismiss	is <b>GR</b> A	ANTED	; and it	is further		
prejudice; and  ORDERED that, Counts IV of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is dismissed w		ORI	DEREI	that, t	to the e	xtent t	hey are	premis	sed on	the alle	ged use	e of an A	utomatio	
ORDERED that, Counts IV of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is dismissed w	Tele	phone I	Dialing	System,	, Counts	s I and	II of Pla	aintiff's	Amend	led Con	nplaint	are dismis	sed with	
•	preju	ıdice; aı	nd											
prejudice.		ORI	DEREI	) that,	Counts	IV o	f Plain	tiff's A	mende	d Com	plaint i	is dismiss	sed with	
	preju	ıdice.												
DATED: Hon. Catherine C. Blake	DAT	`ED:			-			Hon	. Cathe	rine C.	Blake	_		